#### PARTIES LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC, Plaintiffs, Case Number: C 14-03640-CW STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE VS. RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE CANON, INC., ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL Defendant(s). 10 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED 11 and MCM Portfolio LLC, 12 Plaintiffs, Case Number: C 14-03641-CW 13 STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE VS. 14 RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE FALCON COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.. ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES 15 AND COUNSEL 16 Defendant(s). 17 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC, 18 Case Number: C 14-03642-CW 19 Plaintiffs, STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE 20 RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE VS. ) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES 21 HITI DIGITAL AMERICA INC., AND COUNSEL 22 Defendant(s). 23 24 25 26 27 Page 1 of 8 C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, 28 C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW, C 14-03647-CW STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUESTING

PERMISSION TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	_,
and MCM Portfolio LLC,	)
Plaintiffs,	) Case Number: C 14-03643-CW
Trantins,	) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
VS.	<ul><li>) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE</li><li>) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES</li></ul>
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).	)
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	_/ ) )
Plaintiffs,	) Case Number: C 14-03644-CW
Planiums,	) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
VS.	<ul><li>) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE</li><li>) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES</li></ul>
KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.,	) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).	)
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	_ ) )
	) Case Number: C 14-03645-CW
Plaintiffs,	) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIV
VS.	<ul><li>) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE</li><li>) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES</li></ul>
NEWEGG INC., et al.,	) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).	)
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	_)
and MCM Portfolio LLC,	) Case Number: C 14-03646-CW
Plaintiffs,	) ) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIV
VS.	) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION, et al.,	<ul><li>) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES</li><li>) AND COUNSEL</li></ul>
	)
Defendant(s).	_)
Pag	ge 2 of 8
	C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-C C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-C C 14-03647-C

1	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	)
2	and MCM Portfolio LLC,	) Case Number: C 14-03647-CW
3	Plaintiffs,	) ) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
4	vs.	RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
5	SHUTTLE COMPUTER GROUP INC.,	ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
6	Defendant(s).	) )
7	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Plain	tiffs Technology Properties Limited LLC
8	("TPL") and MCM Portfolio LLC ("MCM") ar	nd Defendants Canon Inc., Canon U.S.A., Inc.,
9	Falcon Northwest Computer Systems, Inc., HiT	i Digital America Inc., Hewlett-Packard
10	Company, Kingston Technology Company, Inc	c., Newegg Inc., Rosewill Inc., Seiko Epson
11	Corporation, Epson America, Inc. and Shuttle Computer Group Inc. (collectively, "the parties"	
12	respectfully submit this Stipulation for Administrative Relief for Plaintiffs to file the ADR	
13	Certifications by Parties and Counsel in the above-captioned matters.	
14	Plaintiffs state as follows in support of this stipulation:	
15	1. Plaintiffs have worked diligently to retain local counsel after this case was	
16	transferred to this District.	
17	2. Plaintiffs have not yet been able	to retain local counsel. Thus, Plaintiffs have not
18	been able to move the Court for <i>pro hac vice</i> ac	lmission of its lead counsel.
19	3. On October 22, 2014 and October	er 23, 2014, the Court entered Case Management
20	Scheduling Orders in the above-captioned matters.	
21	4. Plaintiffs have served all parties to the above-captioned matter with the Orders.	
22	5. Defendants do not join in Plainti	ffs' statements but do not oppose the relief
23	requested by Plaintiffs.	
24	For these reasons, this stipulation respectfully requests administrative relief for Plaintiff	
25	undersigned counsel to file the ADR Certifications by Parties and Counsel (attached hereto as	
26	Exhibits A and B).	
27	Page	e 3 of 8
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CV C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CV C 14-03647-CV

STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUESTING

PERMISSION TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

1		
2	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Benjamin R. Askew
3		Anthony G. Simon ( <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)  Michael P. Kella ( <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)
4		Benjamin R. Askew (pro hac vice forthcoming)
5		Timothy D. Krieger ( <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C.
6		800 Market Street, Suite 1700
7		St. Louis, Missouri 63101 P. 314.241.2929
8		F. 314.241.2029
		asimon@simonlawpc.com mkella@simonlawpc.com
9		baskew@simonlawpc.com
10		tkrieger@simonlawpc.com
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Technology Properties
12		Limited, LLC and MCM Portfolio LLC
13	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Tracy A. Stitt (with consent)
14	25, 2011	Jacqueline K. S. Lee (State Bar No. 247705)
15		jkslee@jonesday.com JONES DAY
16		1755 Embarcadero Road
17		Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939
18		Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
19		Calvin P. Griffith (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
20		cpgriffith@jonesday.com David M. Maiorana (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
21		dmaiorana@jonesday.com
		JONES DAY North Point
22		901 Lakeside Avenue
23		Cleveland, OH 44114 Telephone: (216) 586-3939
24		Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
25		Tracy A. Stitt (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
26		tastitt@jonesday.com
27		Page <b>4</b> of <b>8</b>
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW,
	CTIDLII ATION EOD ADMINISTDATIVE D	C 14-03647-CW

1		JONES DAY
2		51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001
2		Telephone: (202) 879-3939
3		Facsimile: (202) 626-1700
4		Counsel for Defendants Canon Inc. and Canon
5		U.S.A., Inc.
6	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ David S. Hoffman (with consent)
7	Buted. October 29, 2014	David M. Barkan
8		SBN 160825 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
9		500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
		Redwood City, California 94070-1526
10		Tel: (650) 839-5070
11		Fax: (650) 839-5071 Barkan@fr.com
12		
13		David M. Hoffman ( <i>admitted pro hac vice</i> ) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
14		One Congress Plaza, Suite 810
14		111 Congress Avenue
15		Austin, TX 78701
16		Tel: (512) 472-5070 Fax: (512) 320-8935
		Hoffman @fr.com
17		
18		Christine Yang LAW OFFICES OF S.J. CHRISTINE YANG
19		17220 Newhope Street, Suite 101-102
20		Fountain Valley, CA 92708
20		Tel: (714) 641-4022
21		Fax: (714) 641-2082
22		cyang@sjclawpc.com
23		Attorneys for Defendant Kingston Technology
24		Company, Inc.
25	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Gordon M. Fauth, Jr. (with consent)
23	Batea. Getober 25, 2011	Gordon M. Fauth, Jr. (Cal. Bar No. 190280)
26		gmf@classlitigation.com
27		Page <b>5</b> of <b>8</b>
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW, C 14-03647-CW
	CTIDI II ATION EOD ADMINISTDATIVE DEI IEE	C 14-03647-CW

LITIGATION LAW GROUP 1 1801 Clement Ave., Suite 101 Alameda, CA 94501 2 Telephone: (510) m238-9610 Facsimile: (510) 337-1431 Kent E. Baldauf, Jr. (Pro Hac Vice admission pending) kbaldaufjr@webblaw.com Bryan P. Clark (*Pro Hac Vice* admission pending) bclark@webblaw.com THE WEBB LAW FIRM 420 Ft. Duquesne Blvd, Suite 1200 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094 10 Attorneys for Defendants Falcon Northwest 11 Computer Systems, Inc., Newegg Inc. And Rosewill 12 Inc. 13 Dated: October 29, 2014 By: /s/Andrew T. Oliver (with consent) 14 Jenny W. Chen (Cal. Bar No. 205043) CHEN IP LAW GROUP 15 7F, No. 1, Alley 30, Lane 358, Rueiguang Road 16 Neihu District Taipei, Taiwan 114 17 Telephone: +886.2.7721.8855 Facsimile: +886.2.7721.8822 18 Email: Jenny.Chen@ ChenIPLaw.com 19 Andrew T. Oliver (Cal. Bar No. 226098) 20 AMIN, TUROCY & WATSON LLP 148 Castro Street, Suite B-20 21 Mountain View, CA 94041 22 Telephone: (650) 618-6477 Email: aoliver@ATWiplaw.com 23 Attorneys for Defendants Shuttle Computer Group 24 Inc. and HiTi Digital America, Inc. 25 26 27 Page 6 of 8 C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, 28 C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW. C 14-03647-CW

1	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Matthew J. Hertko (with consent)
2		Kyle T. Barrett (State Bar No. 284595) kbarrett@jonesday.com
3		JONES DAY
4		1755 Embarcadero Road
		Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939
5		Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
6		William E. Devitt (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
7		wdevitt@jonesday.com
8		Matthew J. Hertko (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
9		mhertko@jonesday.com JONES DAY
		77 W. Wacker, Suite 3500
10		Chicago, IL 60601
11		Telephone: (312) 782-3939
12		Facsimile: (312) 782-8585
		Attorneys for Defendants Seiko Epson Corp. and
13		Epson America, Inc.
14		
15	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Megan Whyman Olsek (with consent)
16		Megan Whyman Olesek (SBN 191218) KENYON & KENYON LLP
		1801 Page Mill Road, Suite 210
17		Palo Alto, CA 94304
18		Telephone: (650) 384-4700
19		Facsimile: (650) 384-4701 Email: molesek@kenyon.com
		Eman. molesek@kenyon.com
20		Marcia H. Sundeen (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
21		T. Cy Walker (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
22		KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K Street, NW, Suite 700
		Washington, DC 20005
23		Telephone: 202-220-4200
24		Facsimile: 202-220-4201
25		Email: msundeen@kenyon.com Email: cwalker@kenyon.com
		Zimani ewanter energencem
26		Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company
27	I	Page <b>7</b> of <b>8</b>
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW,
	CENTRAL ATRION FOR A DATE VICTOR ATRICE STATE OF THE STAT	C 14-03647-CW
	LCTIDI II ATION EOD ADMINICTDATIVE DEL IEE DEO	LIESTING 3

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel for all parties of record on October 29, 2014 via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Benjamin R. Askew

Page **8** of **8** 

C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03647-CW, C 14-03647-CW

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **OAKLAND DIVISION**

O ) )
) Case Number: C 14-03640-CW
) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES ) AND COUNSEL )
Case Number: C 14-03641-CW  (PROPOSED) ORDER REGARDING  STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE  RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE  ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES  AND COUNSEL
Case Number: C 14-03642-CW    PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING   STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE   RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE   ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES   AND COUNSEL
,

Page 1 of 3

C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW, C 14-03647-CW

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL 

and MCM Portfolio LLC,	)
Plaintiffs,	) Case Number: C 14-03643-CW
VS.	<ul><li>) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING</li><li>) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIV</li><li>) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE</li></ul>
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES ) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).	
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	_/ ) )
Plaintiffs,	) Case Number: C 14-03644-CW
vs.	<ul><li>(PROPOSED) ORDER REGARDING</li><li>(STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE)</li></ul>
KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.,	<ul><li>) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE</li><li>) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES</li></ul>
Defendant(s).	) AND COUNSEL
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	_/ ) )
Plaintiffs,	) Case Number: C 14-03645-CW
vs.	<ul> <li>(PROPOSED) ORDER REGARDING</li> <li>STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE</li> <li>RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE</li> </ul>
NEWEGG INC., et al.,	) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES ) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).	)
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	_/ ) )
Plaintiffs,	) Case Number: C 14-03646-CW ) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
VS.	) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIV
SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION, et al.,	<ul><li>) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE</li><li>) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES</li><li>) AND COUNSEL</li></ul>
Defendant(s).	
Pag	ge <b>2</b> of <b>3</b>
1 115	C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643- C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646- C 14-03647

### Case 4:14-cv-03640-CW Document 237 Filed 10/31/14 Page 11 of 15

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  SHUTTLE COMPUTER GROUP INC.,  Defendant(s)	) Case Number: C 14-03647-CW ) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING ) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES ) AND COUNSEL
	_
Dated:10/31/2014	Taudia Wilken INITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
Pag	ge <b>3</b> of <b>3</b> C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03647-CW

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE

RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

## **EXHIBIT A**

Plaintiff Technology Properties Limited LLC's ADR Certification by Parties and Counsel

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Technology Properties Limited LLC, et al.  Plaintiff(s),  v.	Case No. C14-03640 CW  ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
Canon, Inc., et al.  Defendant(s).	
that he or she has:  (1) Read the handbook entitled "Dis	ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies  pute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov (Limited printed
Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) und	for parties in cases not subject to the court's er Civil L.R. 5-1(b)); resolution options provided by the Court and private
(3) Considered whether this case mi resolution options.	ght benefit from any of the available dispute
	Technology Properties Limited LLC
Dated: October 22, 2014	Party Anochypovany
Dated: October 22, 2014	/s/ Benjamin R. Askew [Counsel]

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the ADR Docket Event entitled "ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of Discussion of ADR Options."

# EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff MCM Portfolio LLC's ADR Certification by Parties and Counsel

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	Case No. C14-03640 CW
Plaintiff(s),	Case No. C14-03040 CW
r iamum(s),	ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
v.	
Canon, Inc., et al.	
Defendant(s).	
Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR that he or she has:	L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies
(1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute I of California" on the Court's ADR Internet site we copies are available from the clerk's office for particle Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under Civil	arties in cases not subject to the court's
(2) Discussed the available dispute resolutentities; and	tion options provided by the Court and private
(3) Considered whether this case might be resolution options.	enefit from any of the available dispute
Dated: October 22, 2014	MCM Portfolio LLC  Som Anhalt  [Party] Susan Anhalt,  Manager
Dated: October 22, 2014	/s/ Benjamin R. Askey [Counsel]

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the ADR Docket Event entitled "ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of Discussion of ADR Options."